

ANOMALY MEDIATED SUSY BREAKING FROM A STRING THEORY PERSPECTIVE

BRENT D. NELSON

*Michigan Center for Theoretical Physics
University of Michigan
3444A Randall Laboratory
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109*

The general form of soft supersymmetry breaking terms arising from the superconformal anomaly are presented and are examined in the context of effective field theories derived from the weakly-coupled heterotic string. Some phenomenological consequences are considered and compared to scenarios with universal soft terms.

There has been much interest of late in contributions to soft supersymmetry-breaking parameters arising at one loop order from the superconformal anomaly of supergravity.¹ If the superconformal anomaly is the *sole* contributor to soft supersymmetry (SUSY) breaking then the resulting soft Lagrangian shows a remarkable degree of insensitivity to high-energy physics, perhaps providing a resolution to the so-called SUSY flavor problem. Such a situation has been speculated to arise in certain brane-world constructions that have been denoted “sequestered sector” models.² The soft supersymmetry breaking parameters in this scenario are typically computed using a flat space spurion technique³ which leads to the conclusion that scalar masses are vanishing at the one loop level and arise only at two loops. Since this leads to negative squared masses for scalar leptons, some additional model building must be undertaken to make this scenario phenomenologically viable.

The above description is not, however, the only possible manifestation of “anomaly-mediation” of SUSY breaking but represents a special case of a more general phenomenon. The superconformal anomaly is an integral part of supergravity and its contributions to soft SUSY breaking are truly “gravity mediation” *par excellence*, taking their place among all other contributions at the loop level to the soft Lagrangian. Thus they will be present in the standard effective Lagrangians derived from the weakly-coupled heterotic string, and not solely in those based on strings at strong coupling.

What's more, a deeper understanding of the relationship between chiral spurions in flat space and a true supergravity loop calculation reveals that the former technique is incomplete.⁴ Indeed, the vanishing of scalar masses at one loop is seen to be an artifact of certain (often tacitly made) assumptions about the form of the Kähler potential and the way in which the supergravity theory is ultimately regulated. That the regularization of the theory enters the low-energy physics indicates that the degree to which SUSY breaking is insensitive to UV physics is a model dependent question.

To be more specific we can investigate the form of the soft SUSY breaking terms in a modular invariant low-energy effective theory defined by the Kähler potential $K(S, T) = -\ln(S + \bar{S}) - 3\ln(T + \bar{T})$ with S the chiral dilaton superfield and T representing an overall Kähler modulus. The Kähler metric for matter superfields Z^i will be taken to be $K_{i\bar{j}} = \kappa_i(T)\delta_{i\bar{j}} + O(|Z^i|^2)$, with $\kappa_i(T) = (T + \bar{T})^{n_i}$, where n_i is the modular weight of the field Z^i . Modular invariance of the Lagrangian is enforced by associating a moduli dependence with the Yukawa couplings of the superpotential $W_{ijk} = w_{ijk} [\eta(T)]^{-2(3+n_i+n_j+n_k)}$ where $\eta(T)$ is the Dedekind function.

The contribution to gaugino masses M_a arising at one loop from the superconformal anomaly are given by^{5,6}

$$M_a^1|_{\text{an}} = \frac{g_a^2(\mu)}{2} \left[\frac{2b_a}{3} \bar{M} - 2b'_a F^n K_n - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_i C_a^i F^n \partial_n \ln \kappa_i \right], \quad (1)$$

where C_a, C_a^i are the quadratic Casimir operators for the gauge group G_a in the adjoint representation and in the representation of Z^i , respectively, and we have defined the quantities b_a and b'_a by:

$$b_a = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(3C_a - \sum_i C_a^i \right); \quad b'_a = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(C_a - \sum_i C_a^i \right). \quad (2)$$

When combined with loop contributions from the Green-Schwarz counterterm and string threshold corrections to the gauge kinetic function, the complete tree plus one loop gaugino mass is

$$M_a = \frac{g_a^2(\mu)}{2} \left\{ 2 \left[\frac{\delta_{\text{GS}}}{16\pi^2} + b_a \right] G_2(t, \bar{t}) F^T + \frac{2}{3} b_a \bar{M} + [1 - 2b'_a K_s] F^S \right\}, \quad (3)$$

where F^S and F^T are the auxiliary fields for the dilaton and the Kähler modulus, respectively, M is the supergravity auxiliary field whose vacuum expectation value (vev) determines the gravitino mass $m_{3/2} = -\frac{1}{3}\langle \bar{M} \rangle = \langle e^{K/2} \bar{W} \rangle$, and δ_{GS} is the Green-Schwarz coefficient which is a (negative) integer between 0 and -90. The function $G_2(t, \bar{t})$ is proportional to the

Eisenstein function and vanishes when T is stabilized at one of its two self-dual points. From (3) it is clear that when supersymmetry breaking is communicated to the observable sector via a non-zero vev for F^T while the moduli are stabilized at a self-dual point then only the second term contributes to gaugino masses. This is precisely the “anomaly mediated” contribution.^{1,2}

The soft supersymmetry breaking parameters that appear in the scalar potential have a more complicated form at one loop in supergravity and will depend on the way in which the theory is regulated. These loop contributions have been computed recently⁴ using the Pauli-Villars (PV) regularization procedure.⁷ To understand their basic structure it is sufficient to make the simplifying assumption that all of the observable sector matter fields have modular weights $n_i = -1$. This assumption also dictates the modular weights of the Pauli-Villars fields that regulate the loop contributions from the light fields, but not the modular weights of the fields that generate the (supersymmetric) Pauli-Villars masses themselves. We therefore introduce a phenomenological parameter p that represents the effective modular weights of these fields.

With these simplifications the relevant soft terms are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{ijk} &= -\frac{K_s}{3}F^S - \frac{1}{3}\gamma_i\overline{M} - p\gamma_i G_2(t, \bar{t})F^T + \tilde{\gamma}_i F^S \ln(\tilde{\mu}_{\text{PV}}^2/\mu_R^2) + \text{cyclic}(ijk) \\
M_i^2 &= \left\{ \frac{|M|^2}{9} - \frac{|F^T|^2}{(t+\bar{t})^2} \right\} \left[1 + p\gamma_i - \left(\sum_a \gamma_i^a - 2 \sum_{jk} \gamma_i^{jk} \right) \ln(\tilde{\mu}_{\text{PV}}^2/\mu_R^2) \right] \\
&\quad + (1-p)\gamma_i \frac{|M|^2}{9} + \tilde{\gamma}_i \frac{F^S}{2} \left\{ \frac{M}{3} + p G_2(t, \bar{t})\overline{F}^T + \text{h.c.} \right\} \\
&\quad + |F^S|^2 \left[\left(\frac{3}{4} \sum_a \gamma_i^a g_a^2 + K_s K_{\bar{s}} \sum_{jk} \gamma_i^{jk} \right) \ln(\tilde{\mu}_{\text{PV}}^2/\mu_R^2) \right], \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

where the quantity $\ln(\tilde{\mu}_{\text{PV}}^2/\mu_R^2) = \ln(\mu_{\text{PV}}^2/\mu_R^2) - p \ln[(t+\bar{t})|\eta(t)|^4]$ can be interpreted as a threshold correction to the Pauli-Villars mass scale μ_{PV} and μ_R being the renormalization scale. The various quantities related to the anomalous dimensions $\gamma_i^j \approx \gamma_i \delta_i^j = \sum_{jk} \gamma_i^{jk} + \sum_a \gamma_i^a$ of the fields are defined by

$$\gamma_i^a = \frac{g_a^2}{8\pi^2} (T_a^2)_i^i, \quad \gamma_i^{jk} = -\frac{e^K}{32\pi^2} (\kappa_i \kappa_j \kappa_k)^{-1} |W_{ijk}|^2. \tag{5}$$

and $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ is a shorthand notation for the quantity $\tilde{\gamma}_i = \sum_a \gamma_i^a g_a^2 - K_s \sum_{jk} \gamma_i^{jk}$.

The expressions in (3) and (4) represent the complete one loop expressions. As mentioned above, the case where the anomaly-mediated terms

proportional to M are dominant is the case of moduli domination $\langle F^S \rangle = 0$, $\langle F^T \rangle \neq 0$ with the moduli stabilized at a self-dual point. In that case, if the vacuum energy vanishes the tree-level scalar masses are zero and the soft terms are given by

$$M_a = g_a^2(\mu)b_a\frac{\overline{M}}{3} ; A_{ijk} = -(\gamma_i + \gamma_j + \gamma_k)\frac{\overline{M}}{3} ; M_i^2 = (1-p)\gamma_i\frac{|M|^2}{9}. \quad (6)$$

The parameter p is constrained to be no larger than 1, though it can be negative in value. Thus *the scalar squared mass for all matter fields will in general be non-zero and positive at one loop* – only the Higgs can have a negative running squared mass. The limiting case of $p = 1$, where the scalar masses are zero at the one loop level and we recover the sequestered sector limit, occurs when the regulating PV fields and the mass-generating PV fields have the same dependence on the Kähler moduli. Another reasonable possibility is that the PV masses are independent of the moduli, in which case we would have $p = 0$.

Even when the anomaly-mediated terms are not the sole contributors to the soft supersymmetry-breaking Lagrangian they can still have an important influence over the resulting phenomenology.⁸ This influence is most pronounced in the gaugino mass sector, where one often encounters vanishing or suppressed tree level contributions to the gaugino masses in string-inspired models of supersymmetry breaking.⁹ The resulting interplay between the various gauge group dependent contributions in (3) can then give rise to gluinos that are lighter than would be expected in a unified gaugino mass scenario, while still providing a heavy enough chargino to avoid the LEP direct search limit. This can have significant implications for fine-tuning in the electroweak sector¹⁰ and the thermal relic abundance of the lightest neutralino.^{11,7}

References

1. G. Giudice, M. Luty, H. Murayama and R. Rattazzi, *JHEP* **9812** (1998) 027.
2. L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *Nucl. Phys.* **B557** (1999) 79.
3. A. Pomarol and R. Rattazzi, *JHEP* **9905** (1999) 013.
4. M. K. Gaillard and B. D. Nelson, *Nucl. Phys.* **B588** (2000) 197.
5. M. K. Gaillard, B. D. Nelson and Y.-Y. Wu, *Phys. Lett.* **B459** (1999) 549.
6. J. Bagger, T. Moroi and E. Poppitz, *JHEP* **0004** (2000) 009.
7. M. K. Gaillard, *Phys. Lett.* **B342** (1995) 125.
8. P. Binetrui, M. K. Gaillard and B. D. Nelson, *Nucl. Phys.* **B604** (2001) 32.
9. G. L. Kane, J. Lykken, S. Mrenna, B. D. Nelson, L. Wang and T. T. Wang, hep-ph/0209061.
10. G. L. Kane, J. Lykken, B. D. Nelson, and L. Wang, hep-ph/0207168.
11. A. Birkedal-Hansen and B.D. Nelson, *Phys. Rev.* **D64** (2001) 015008.